February 22 Math 3260 sec. 55 Spring 2018

Section 2.3: Characterization of Invertible Matrices

Given an $n \times n$ matrix A, we can think of

- A matrix equation $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$;
- ► A linear system that has A as its coefficient matrix;
- ► A linear transformation $T : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ defined by $T(\mathbf{x}) = A\mathbf{x}$;
- Not to mention things like its **pivots**, its **rref**, the linear dependence/independence of its columns, blah blah blah...

Question: How is this stuff related, and how does being singular or invertible tie in?

February 21, 2018

Theorem: Suppose *A* is $n \times n$. The following are equivalent. ¹

- (a) A is invertible.
- (b) A is row equivalent to I_n .
- (c) A has n pivot positions.
- (d) $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ has only the trivial solution.
- (e) The columns of A are linearly independent.
- (f) The transformation $\mathbf{x} \mapsto A\mathbf{x}$ is one to one.
- (g) $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ is consistent for every \mathbf{b} in \mathbb{R}^n .
- (h) The columns of A span \mathbb{R}^n .
- (i) The transformation $\mathbf{x} \mapsto A\mathbf{x}$ is onto.
- (j) There exists an $n \times n$ matrix C such that CA = I.
- (k) There exists an $n \times n$ matrix D such that AD = I.
- (I) A^T is invertible.

¹Meaning all are true or none are true.

Theorem: (An inverse matrix is unique.)

Let *A* and *B* be $n \times n$ matrices. If AB = I, then *A* and *B* are both invertible with $A^{-1} = B$ and $B^{-1} = A$.

February 21, 2018 3 / 33

$$ABB'=IB' \Rightarrow AI=B' \Rightarrow A=B'.$$

Since B' is also invertible, we know that
A is invertible. And
$$\overline{A'} = (B')' = B.$$

Invertible Linear Transformations

Definition: A linear transformation $T : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be **invertible** if there exists a function $S : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that both

$$S(T(\mathbf{x})) = \mathbf{x}$$
 and $T(S(\mathbf{x})) = \mathbf{x}$

for every **x** in \mathbb{R}^n .

If such a function exists, we typically denote it by

$$S = T^{-1}$$

February 21, 2018

Theorem (Invertibility of a linear transformation and its matrix)

Let $T : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a linear transformation and A its standard matrix. Then T is invertible if and only if A is invertible. Moreover, if T is invertible, then

$$T^{-1}({\bf x}) = A^{-1}{\bf x}$$

February 21, 2018

6/33

for every **x** in \mathbb{R}^n .

Example

Use the standard matrix to determine if the linear transformation is invertible. If it is invertible, characterize the inverse transformation.

 $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$, given by $T(x_1, x_2) = (3x_1 - x_2, 4x_2)$. Find the standard makin A $T(\vec{e}_1) = T(1, 0) = (3, 0)$, $T(\vec{e}_2) = T(0, 1) = (-1, Y)$ $A: \left[T(\vec{e}_{1}) \ T(\vec{e}_{2})\right] = \left(\begin{matrix} 3 & -1 \\ 0 & 4 \end{matrix}\right)$ dr(A) = 0, A' exists dut(A)= 3.4-0(-1)= 12 $\overrightarrow{A} = \frac{1}{12} \begin{vmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 \end{vmatrix}$ February 21, 2018 7/33

T is invertible cine A is:

$$T'(\vec{x}) = \vec{A}'\vec{x} = \frac{1}{12} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{12} \begin{bmatrix} 4x_1 + X_2 \\ 3X_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} \chi_1 + \frac{1}{12} \chi_2 \\ \frac{1}{4} \chi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

We can write

$$T'(X_1, X_2) = \left(\frac{1}{3}X_1 + \frac{1}{12}X_2, \frac{1}{4}X_2\right)$$

◆□▶ < @ ▶ < ≧ ▶ < ≧ ▶ ≧ シ ≧ の Q @ February 21, 2018 8/33

Example

Suppose $T : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a one to one linear transformation. Can we determine whether *T* is onto? Why (or why not)?

February 21, 2018 9 / 33

э

A D F A B F A B F A B F

Section 3.1: Introduction to Determinants

Recall that a 2×2 matrix is invertible if and only if the number called its **determinant** is nonzero. We had

$$\det \left[egin{array}{cc} a_{11} & a_{12} \ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{array}
ight] = a_{11}a_{22} - a_{21}a_{12}.$$

We wish to extend the concept of determinant to $n \times n$ matrices in general. And we wish to do so in such a way that invertibility holds if and only if the determinant is nonzero.

February 21, 2018

Determinant 3×3 case:

Suppose we start with a 3 × 3 invertible matrix. And suppose that $a_{11} \neq 0$. We can multiply the second and third rows by a_{11} and begin row reduction.

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{11}a_{21} & a_{11}a_{22} & a_{11}a_{23} \\ a_{11}a_{31} & a_{11}a_{32} & a_{11}a_{33} \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{11}a_{31} & a_{11}a_{32} & a_{11}a_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

Determinant 3×3 case continued...

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ 0 & a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} & a_{11}a_{23} - a_{13}a_{21} \\ 0 & a_{11}a_{32} - a_{12}a_{31} & a_{11}a_{33} - a_{13}a_{31} \end{bmatrix}$$

If $A \sim I$, one of the entries in the 2, 2 or the 3, 2 position must be nonzero. Let's assume it is the 2,2 entry. Continue row reduction to get

$$A \sim \left[egin{array}{ccc} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \ 0 & a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} & a_{11}a_{23} - a_{13}a_{21} \ 0 & 0 & a_{11}\Delta \end{array}
ight]$$

Again, if A is invertible, it must be that the bottom right entry is nonzero. That is

$$\Delta \neq 0.$$

February 21, 2018

12/33

Note that if $\Delta = 0$, the rref of A is not *I*—A would be singular.

Determinant 3×3 case continued...

With a little rearrangement, we have

$$\Delta = a_{11}(a_{22}a_{33} - a_{32}a_{23}) - a_{12}(a_{21}a_{33} - a_{23}a_{31}) + + a_{13}(a_{21}a_{32} - a_{22}a_{31})$$

$$= a_{11} \det \left[\begin{array}{cc} a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{32} & a_{33} \end{array} \right] - a_{12} \det \left[\begin{array}{cc} a_{21} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{33} \end{array} \right] + a_{13} \det \left[\begin{array}{cc} a_{21} & a_{22} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} \end{array} \right]$$

February 21, 2018 13 / 33

The number Δ will be called the **determinant** of *A*.

Definitions: Minors

Let $n \ge 2$. For an $n \times n$ matrix A, let A_{ij} denote the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix obtained from A by deleting the i^{th} row and the j^{th} column of A.

For example, if

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 3 & 2 & 0 \\ 4 & 4 & 0 & -3 \\ -2 & 1 & 7 & 2 \\ 3 & 0 & -1 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \text{ then } A_{23} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 3 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

Definition: The *i*, *j*th **minor** of the $n \times n$ matrix *A* is the number

$$M_{ij} = \det(A_{ij}).$$

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

February 21, 2018

Definitions: Cofactor

Definition: Let *A* be an $n \times n$ matrix with $n \ge 2$. The *i*, *j*th **cofactor** of *A* is the number

$$C_{ij}=(-1)^{i+j}M_{ij}.$$

Example: Find the three minors M_{11} , M_{12} , M_{13} and find the 3 cofactors C_{11} , C_{12} , C_{13} of the matrix

×

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

February 21, 2018

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$M_{12} = dut (A_{12})$$

$$M_{13} = dut (A_{13})$$

$$(\text{Example Continued...}) \qquad A_{\mu} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{21} & a_{23} \\ a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix} . \qquad M_{\mu} = a_{22} \cdot a_{33} - a_{32} \cdot a_{23}$$
$$A_{\mu} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{21} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix} . \qquad M_{\mu} = a_{21} \cdot a_{32} - a_{31} \cdot a_{23}$$
$$A_{\mu} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{21} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix} . \qquad M_{\mu} = a_{21} \cdot a_{32} - a_{31} \cdot a_{23}$$
$$A_{\mu} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{21} & a_{22} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} \end{bmatrix} . \qquad M_{\mu} = a_{21} \cdot a_{32} - a_{31} \cdot a_{23}$$

◆□ → ◆ □ → ◆ □ → ◆ □ → ◆ □ → ◆ □ → ◆ □ → ◆ □ → ◆ □ → □ = ∽ ○ へ ○
 February 21, 2018 16 / 33

$$C_{11} = (-1)^{1+1} M_{11} = (-1)^{2} M_{11} = M_{11}$$
$$= A_{22} A_{33} - A_{32} A_{23}$$
$$= (-1)^{1+2} M_{12} = (-1)^{3} M_{12} = -1$$

$$C_{12} = (-1)^{1+2} M_{12} = (-1)^{3} M_{12} = -M_{12}$$

$$= - (Q_{21} Q_{33} - Q_{31} Q_{23})$$

$$C_{13} = (-1)^{1+3} M_{13} = (-1)^{5} M_{13} = M_{13}$$

= $A_{21} A_{32} = A_{31} A_{22}$

February 21, 2018 17 / 33

・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨー うへの

Observation:

Comparison with the determinant of the 3×3 matrix, we can note that

$$\Delta = a_{11} \det \begin{bmatrix} a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix} - a_{12} \det \begin{bmatrix} a_{21} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix} + a_{13} \det \begin{bmatrix} a_{21} & a_{22} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= a_{11}C_{11} + a_{12}C_{12} + a_{13}C_{13}$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

February 21, 2018

э