

3.9 Matrix Inversion

Definition

An $n \times n$ matrix A is **invertible** if there exists an $n \times n$ matrix B such that

$$AB = BA = I_n$$

Key Results

- ▶ If A and B are $n \times n$ and $AB = I_n$, then $BA = I_n$.
- ▶ If A is invertible, then it has exactly one inverse.
- ▶ We write the inverse of a matrix A as A^{-1} .

Main Invertibility Test & Algorithm

Invertibility Theorem

Suppose that A is an $n \times n$ matrix. Then A is invertible if and only if $\text{rref}(A) = I_n$. Moreover, if A is invertible, and $\hat{A} = [A \mid I_n]$, is the multiply-augmented matrix then

$$\text{rref}(\hat{A}) = [I_n \mid A^{-1}],$$

This gives a test for invertibility:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{rref}(A) &= I_n \implies A \text{ is invertible} \\ \text{rref}(A) &\neq I_n \implies A \text{ is not invertible.}\end{aligned}$$

The algorithm for finding A^{-1} is $\text{rref}([A \mid I_n]) = [I_n \mid A^{-1}]$.

Matrix-Vector & Matrix-Matrix Equations

Suppose A is an invertible $n \times n$ matrix. Then,

- ▶ for any vector \vec{y} in R^n , the matrix-vector equation $A\vec{x} = \vec{y}$ has unique solution

$$\vec{x} = A^{-1}\vec{y},$$

- ▶ and for any $n \times p$ matrix Y , the matrix-matrix equation $AX = Y$ has unique solution

$$X = A^{-1}Y.$$

Example

Use a matrix inverse¹ to solve the system.

$$2x_1 + x_2 = 3$$

$$-x_1 + 4x_2 = 2$$

Formulate as $A\vec{x} = \vec{y}$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \quad \vec{x} = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle, \quad \vec{y} = \langle 3, 2 \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{x} &= A^{-1} \vec{y} = \frac{1}{9} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \langle 3, 2 \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{9} \langle 4(3) + (-1)2, 1(3) + 2(2) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

¹Last time, we found that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{9} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\vec{x} = \frac{1}{9} \langle 10, 7 \rangle = \left\langle \frac{10}{9}, \frac{7}{9} \right\rangle$$

The solution $x_1 = \frac{10}{9}$, $x_2 = \frac{7}{9}$

$$2x_1 + x_2 = 3$$

$$-x_1 + 4x_2 = 2$$

Check: $2\left(\frac{10}{9}\right) + \frac{7}{9} = \frac{20+7}{9} = \frac{27}{9} = 3$

$$-\left(\frac{10}{9}\right) + 4\left(\frac{7}{9}\right) = \frac{-10+28}{9} = \frac{18}{9} = 2$$

Products & Transposes

Theorem

If A and B are invertible $n \times n$ matrices, then AB is invertible and

$$(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$$

Theorem

If A is an invertible $n \times n$ matrix, then A^T is invertible and

$$(A^T)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^T.$$

Recall $(XY)^T = Y^T X^T$

Proof that $(A^T)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^T$:

$(A^T)^{-1}$ is **the** matrix having the property that $(A^T)^{-1} A^T = A^T (A^T)^{-1} = I_n$.

$A A^{-1} = I_n$ Take the transpose.

$$(A A^{-1})^T = I_n^T = I_n$$

$$(A^{-1})^T A^T = I_n$$

\uparrow
this is the
inverse of A^T

$$\Rightarrow (A^{-1})^T = (A^T)^{-1}.$$

Chapter 4 Vector Spaces & Subspaces

In this chapter, we will

- ▶ learn about additional properties of vectors in R^n ,
- ▶ learn about special subsets of R^n , including some related to matrices,
- ▶ state the **Fundamental Theorem of Linear Algebra**,
- ▶ and pin down precisely what a **vector space** is.

4.1 Linear Independence

Let's recall that the matrix-vector product

$$A\vec{x} = x_1 \text{Col}_1(A) + x_2 \text{Col}_2(A) + \cdots + x_n \text{Col}_n(A).$$

$$A\vec{x} = \vec{y}$$

The matrix-vector equation $A\vec{x} = \vec{y}$ is consistent if and only if

$$\vec{y} \in \text{Span}\{\text{Col}_1(A), \text{Col}_2(A), \dots, \text{Col}_n(A)\}.$$

Let's recall that the homogeneous equation

$$A\vec{x} = \vec{0}_m.$$

is always consistent. The zero vector is always in a span.

$$x_1 \text{Col}_1(A) + x_2 \text{Col}_2(A) + \cdots + x_n \text{Col}_n(A) = \vec{0}_m$$

There are two possibilities:

1. The equation has only the trivial solution, $x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_n = 0$,
or
2. the equation has nontrivial solution—i.e. there are numbers x_1, x_2 ,
etc. that are **not all zero**, that make the equation true.

We can think of these two possibilities as some sort of **property**
of the set of vectors

$$\{\text{Col}_1(A), \text{Col}_2(A), \dots, \text{Col}_n(A)\}.$$

Example

Consider the vectors in \mathbb{R}^3

$$\vec{v}_1 = \langle -2, 4, -5 \rangle, \quad \vec{v}_2 = \langle -5, 8, -6 \rangle, \quad \vec{v}_3 = \langle 3, 0, -12 \rangle.$$

Find a matrix A such that

$$\text{Span}\{\text{Col}_1(A), \text{Col}_2(A), \dots, \text{Col}_n(A)\} = \text{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\}.$$

An obvious choice for A is to take
 $\text{Col}_1(A) = \vec{v}_1$, $\text{Col}_2(A) = \vec{v}_2$ and $\text{Col}_3(A) = \vec{v}_3$.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -5 & 3 \\ 4 & 8 & 0 \\ -5 & -6 & -12 \end{bmatrix}$$

Linear Independence/Dependence

Given any set of vectors $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n$ in R^m , we can consider the homogeneous equation

$$x_1 \vec{v}_1 + x_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + x_n \vec{v}_n = \vec{0}_m.$$

There are always two possible cases,

1. The equation has only the trivial solution, $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n = 0$,
or
2. the equation has nontrivial solution—i.e. there are numbers x_1, x_2 , etc. that are **not all zero**, that make the equation true.

Which of these is true is a property of the set of vectors,
 $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$.

Definition: Linear Independence

The collection of vectors $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n$ in R^m is said to be **linearly independent** if the homogeneous equation

$$x_1 \vec{v}_1 + x_2 \vec{v}_2 + \cdots + x_n \vec{v}_n = \vec{0}_m \quad (1)$$

has only the trivial solution, $x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_n = 0$.

If the collection of vectors is not linearly independent, then we say that it is **linearly dependent**.

For a linearly dependent set of vectors, an equation of the form (1) having at least one nonzero weight is called a **linear dependence relation**.

Linear Dependence Relation Example

Consider the collection of vectors $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\}$, where

$$\vec{v}_1 = \langle 1, 0, 1, 0 \rangle, \quad \vec{v}_2 = \langle 0, 1, 0, 1 \rangle, \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{v}_3 = \langle 2, -1, 2, -1 \rangle.$$

Note that $\vec{v}_3 = 2\vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2$. State a **linear dependence relation** for the set of vectors $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\}$.

We want an equation like

$$x_1 \vec{v}_1 + x_2 \vec{v}_2 + x_3 \vec{v}_3 = \vec{0}_4 \quad \text{for some numbers}$$

x_1, x_2, x_3 not all zero.

Rearranging the given equation

$$2\vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2 - \vec{v}_3 = \vec{0}_4$$

This is a linear dependence relation.

Example: Set of One Vector

What can we say about a set of only one vector?

1. Is the set $\{\langle 1, 0, 2, 1 \rangle\}$ linearly dependent or linearly independent?
2. Is the set $\{\langle 0, 0, 0 \rangle\}$ linearly dependent or linearly independent?

1. Consider $x_1 \langle 1, 0, 2, 1 \rangle = \langle 0, 0, 0, 0 \rangle$

$$\langle x_1, 0, 2x_1, x_1 \rangle = \langle 0, 0, 0, 0 \rangle$$

This is only true if $x_1 = 0$.

So the set $\{\langle 1, 0, 2, 1 \rangle\}$ is linearly
independent.

2. Consider $x_1 \langle 0, 0, 0 \rangle = \langle 0, 0, 0 \rangle$.

This holds for any $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$1 \langle 0, 0, 0 \rangle = \langle 0, 0, 0 \rangle$$

Is a linear dependence relation

s. the set $\{\langle 0, 0, 0 \rangle\}$ is

linearly dependent.

It turns out, a set of one vector in \mathbb{R}^m is linearly dependent if and only if that one vector is the zero vector in \mathbb{R}^m .