

# October 9 Math 2306 sec. 53 Fall 2024

## Section 9: Method of Undetermined Coefficients

We are considering nonhomogeneous, linear ODEs

$$a_n y^{(n)} + a_{n-1} y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + a_0 y = g(x)$$

with restrictions on the left and right sides.

- ▶ The left side must be **constant coefficient**.
- ▶ The right side,  $g(x)$ , has to be one of the following function types:
  - ◇ polynomials,
  - ◇ exponentials,
  - ◇ sines and/or cosines,
  - ◇ and products and sums of the above kinds of functions

The **general solution** will have the form  $y = y_c + y_p$ . The process here is for finding  $y_p$ .

## The Method of Undetermined Coefficients

We saw some examples last time. The basic process is

- ▶ Confirm the ODE has the right properties and classify the function  $g$  on the right.
- ▶ Set up an ansatz<sup>1</sup> for  $y_p$  by assuming it is the same *type* of function as  $g$  but with unknown coefficients.
- ▶ Substitute the assumed  $y_p$  into the ODE and match *like terms* to find the coefficients that work.

**Remark:** The complementary solution is found using the process in the last section. This will be a critical part of the process and will usually be done **first**.

---

<sup>1</sup>An **ansatz** is a solution *guess*. It's generally a well informed, educated guess based on the type of problem under consideration. An ansatz typically includes some unspecified features that are to be found in the problem solving process

## Examples of Forms of $y_p$ based on $g$ (Trial Guesses)

$$a_n y^{(n)} + a_{n-1} y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + a_0 y = g(x)$$

(a)  $g(x) = 1$  (or really any nonzero constant)

$$y_p = A$$

(b)  $g(x) = x - 7$  (1<sup>st</sup> degree polynomial)

$$y_p = Ax + B$$

(c)  $g(x) = 5x^2$  (2<sup>nd</sup> degree polynomial)

$$y_p = Ax^2 + Bx + C$$

(d)  $g(x) = 3x^3 - 5$  (3<sup>rd</sup> degree polynomial)

$$y_p = Ax^3 + Bx^2 + Cx + D$$

## Examples of Forms of $y_p$ based on $g$ (Trial Guesses)

(e)  $g(x) = 12e^{-4x}$  (constant multiple of  $e^{-4x}$ )

$$y_p = Ae^{-4x}$$

(f)  $g(x) = xe^{3x}$  ( $1^{st}$  degree polynomial times  $e^{3x}$ )

$$y_p = (Ax + B)e^{3x}$$

**Remark:** The last example can also be written as  $y_p = Axe^{3x} + Be^{3x}$ . The key point is that the factor  $x$  in  $g$  needs to be thought of as a first degree polynomial.

## Examples of Forms of $y_p$ based on $g$ (Trial Guesses)

(g)  $g(x) = \cos(7x)$  (linear combo of cosine and sine of  $7x$ )

$$y_p = A \cos(7x) + B \sin(7x)$$

(h)  $g(x) = x^2 \sin(3x)$  (linear combo  $2^{nd}$  degree polynomial time sine and  $2^{nd}$  degree poly times cosine)

$$y_p = (Ax^2 + Bx + C) \sin(3x) + (Dx^2 + Ex + F) \cos(3x)$$

**Remark:** Note that there are exactly six like terms,  $x^2 \sin(3x)$ ,  $x^2 \cos(3x)$ ,  $x \sin(3x)$ ,  $x \cos(3x)$ ,  $\sin(3x)$ , and  $\cos(3x)$ . They each need their own coefficient,  $A, B, \dots, F$ .

## Examples of Forms of $y_p$ based on $g$ (Trial Guesses)

(i)  $g(x) = e^x \cos(2x)$  (linear combo of  $e^x$  cosine and  $e^x$  sine of  $2x$ )

$$y_p = A e^x \cos(2x) + B e^x \sin(2x)$$

(j)  $g(x) = x e^{-x} \sin(\pi x)$  (linear combo of 1<sup>st</sup> poly times  $e^{-x}$  sine and 1<sup>st</sup> poly times  $e^{-x}$  cosine)

$$y_p = (Ax + B) e^{-x} \sin(\pi x) + (Cx + D) e^{-x} \cos(\pi x)$$

## Rules of Thumb

- ▶ Polynomials include all powers from constant up to the degree.
- ▶ Where sines go, cosines follow and vice versa.
- ▶ Constants inside of sines, cosines, and exponentials (e.g., the “2” in  $e^{2x}$  or the “ $\pi$ ” in  $\sin(\pi x)$ ) are not undetermined. We don’t change those.

## Caution

- ▶ The method is self correcting, meaning if the initial *guess* is wrong, it will become apparant. But it’s best to get the set up correct to avoid unnecessary work.
- ▶ *Constant* really means **constant**. None of the coefficients can end up depending on the variable  $x$ .
- ▶ The form of  $y_p$  can depend on  $y_c$ , but this hasn’t been considered yet. (We’ll come back to this shortly.)

## The Superposition Principle

$$a_n y^{(n)} + a_{n-1} y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + a_0 y = g_1(x) + \cdots + g_k(x)$$

The principle of superposition for nonhomogeneous equations tells us that we can find  $y_p$  by considering separate problems

$$y_{p_1} \text{ solves } a_n y^{(n)} + a_{n-1} y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + a_0 y = g_1(x)$$

$$y_{p_2} \text{ solves } a_n y^{(n)} + a_{n-1} y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + a_0 y = g_2(x),$$

and so forth.

Then  $y_p = y_{p_1} + y_{p_2} + \cdots + y_{p_k}$ .

## The Superposition Principle

**Example:** Determine the correct form of the particular solution using the method of undetermined coefficients for the ODE

$$y'' - 4y' + 4y = 6e^{-3x} + 16x^2$$

Using superposition, we can set up two sub problems.

$$y'' - 4y' + 4y = 6e^{-3x} \quad g_1(x) = 6e^{-3x}$$

$$y'' - 4y' + 4y = 16x^2 \quad , \quad g_2(x) = 16x^2$$

$$\text{For } g_1(x) = 6e^{-3x} \quad , \quad y_{p1} = Ae^{-3x}$$

$$g_2(x) = 16x^2 \quad , \quad y_{p2} = Bx^2 + Cx + D$$

For the whole problem,

$$y_p = Ae^{-3x} + Bx^2 + Cx + D.$$

## A Glitch!

What happens if the assumed form for  $y_p$  is part<sup>2</sup> of  $y_c$ ? Consider applying the process to find a particular solution to the ODE

$$y'' - 2y' = 3e^{2x}$$

$$g(x) = 3e^{2x}, \text{ set } y_p = Ae^{2x}$$

$$\text{sub into the ODE. } y_p = Ae^{2x}, y_p' = 2Ae^{2x}, y_p'' = 4Ae^{2x}$$

$$\text{we need } y_p'' - 2y_p' = 3e^{2x}$$

$$4Ae^{2x} - 2(2Ae^{2x}) = 3e^{2x}$$

$$0 = 3e^{2x}$$

This is false for all choices of  $A$ .

---

<sup>2</sup>A term in  $g(x)$  is contained in a fundamental solution set of the associated homogeneous equation.

Our guess  $y_p = Ae^{zx}$  is part of the complementary solution.

Let's find  $y_c$ .  $y_c$  solves  $y_c'' - 2y_c' = 0$

The characteristic eqn is  $r^2 - 2r = 0$   
 $r(r-2) = 0 \Rightarrow \begin{matrix} r=0 \\ r=2 \end{matrix}$

$$y_1 = e^{0x} = 1, \quad y_2 = e^{2x}$$

Based on the double root case for homogeneous equations, we could try modifying  $y_p$  by adding a factor  $x$ .

$$\text{Set } y_p = (Ae^{zx})x = Ax e^{zx}$$

Sub this in.

$$y'' - 2y' = 3e^{2x}$$

$$y_p = Ax e^{2x}$$

$$y_p' = A e^{2x} + 2Ax e^{2x}$$

$$y_p'' = 2A e^{2x} + 2A e^{2x} + 4Ax e^{2x} = 4A e^{2x} + 4Ax e^{2x}$$

$$y_p'' - 2y_p' = 3e^{2x}$$

$$4A e^{2x} + 4Ax e^{2x} - 2(A e^{2x} + 2Ax e^{2x}) = 3e^{2x}$$

Collect  $x e^{2x}$  and  $e^{2x}$

$$x e^{2x} (4A - 4A) + e^{2x} (4A - 2A) = 3e^{2x}$$

$$2A e^{2x} = 3e^{2x}$$

$$2A = 3 \Rightarrow A = \frac{3}{2}$$

So

$$y_p = \frac{3}{2} x e^{2x}$$

with  $y_1 = 1$ ,  $y_2 = e^{2x}$ ,

the general solution is

$$y = c_1 + c_2 e^{2x} + \frac{3}{2} x e^{2x}$$

$$a_n y^{(n)} + a_{n-1} y^{(n-1)} + \dots + a_0 y = g_i(x)$$

The first thing we do is solve the associated homogeneous equation,

$$a_n y^{(n)} + a_{n-1} y^{(n-1)} + \dots + a_0 y = 0,$$

for the complementary solution  $y_c$ .

### Case 1:

We write out our guess for  $y_{p_i}$  using the general rules of thumb and principles already discussed (see all the examples we went through). We compare our guess for  $y_{p_i}$  to  $y_c$  and **there are no like terms in common.**

We have the correct form for  $y_{p_i}$  so we start the substitution process and complete finding our particular solution.

**Remark:** All the examples so far, up to the slide that says “A Glitch!,” were Case 1 examples.

$$a_n y^{(n)} + a_{n-1} y^{(n-1)} + \dots + a_0 y = g_i(x)$$

### Case 2:

We write out our guess for  $y_{p_i}$  using the general rules of thumb and principles already discussed. We compare our guess for  $y_{p_i}$  to  $y_c$  and **there is one or more like terms in common between  $y_{p_i}$  and  $y_c$ .**

We have to adjust our form of  $y_{p_i}$ . We do this by multiplying the whole function  $y_{p_i}$  by a factor of  $x^n$ , where  $n$  is the smallest positive integer such that our new  $y_{p_i}$  has no like terms in common with  $y_c$ .

Once we have the correct format for  $y_{p_i}$ , we start the substitution process and complete finding our particular solution.

**Remark:** In practice, we can multiply by  $x$ . If the new  $y_{p_i}$  still has a like term in common with  $y_c$ , multiply by  $x$  again. Continue to multiply by  $x$  until there are no common like terms left. That is, we don't have to know what  $n$  is up front.